

Rating: Sell
S&P 500: 1196

Kinder Morgan Energy Partners Energy Houdini

<i>Symbol</i>	KMP	<i>Ebitda Next Twelve Months ending 9/30/05 (US\$m)</i>	600
<i>Rating</i>	Sell	<i>North American Natural Gas/Ebitda (%)</i>	0
<i>Price (US\$/sh)</i>	45.55	<i>Natural Gas and Oil Production/Ebitda (%)</i>	19
<i>Pricing Date</i>	1/18/05	<i>Adjusted Reserves/Production NTM</i>	12.0
<i>Shares (mm)</i>	150	<i>EV/Ebitda</i>	15.3
<i>Market Capitalization (US\$m)</i>	6,830	<i>PV/Ebitda</i>	7.7
<i>Debt (US\$m)</i>	2,410	<i>Undeveloped Reserves (%)</i>	50
<i>Enterprise Value (EV) (US\$m)</i>	9,240	<i>Natural Gas and Oil Ebitda (US\$/boe)</i>	19.54
<i>Present Value (PV) (US\$m)</i>	4,660	<i>Present Value Proven Reserves(US\$/boe)</i>	8.30
<i>Net Present Value (US\$/share)</i>	15	<i>Present Value Proven Reserves(US\$/mcf)</i>	1.38
<i>Debt/Present Value</i>	0.52	<i>Earnings Next Twelve Months (US\$/sh)</i>	2.25
<i>McDep Ratio - EV/PV</i>	1.98	<i>Price/Earnings Next Twelve Months</i>	20
<i>Distribution Yield (%/year)</i>	6.4	<i>Indicated Annual Distribution (US\$/sh)</i>	2.92

Note: Estimated cash flow and earnings at recent quarter rate annualized.

Reported results may vary widely from estimates. Estimated present value per share revised only infrequently.

Summary and Recommendation

Shares of sell-recommended **Kinder Morgan Inc. (KMI)**, **Kinder Morgan Energy Partners (KMP)**, and **Kinder Morgan Management LLC (KMR)** offer illusory appeal that masks high valuation risk and high financial risk. During 2004 existing limited partners appeared to capture premium value from offerings of new units to investors. Yet the proceeds of the offerings were essentially transferred to the general partner. The limited partnership also borrowed to increase distributions that had the effect of increasing earnings for the general partner without any of the debt showing on the general partner's balance sheet. For a more realistic look, we recombine the separate pieces into a consolidated whole that compares unfavorably with any other energy stock in our coverage. By our analysis, KMP stock has the potential to lose some 70% of value to reach estimated net present value of equity of \$15 a share in the next few years.

Access to Capital Helps Perpetuate Illusion

Combining the pieces of Kinder Morgan we construct a consolidated entity that we might call KMx (see table [Kinder Morgan Matrix](#)). KMx would presumably be valued in the stock market near present value of about \$15 billion, near 8 times unlevered cash flow. Indeed the largest, safest and most successful energy stock in the world on a long-term basis, **ExxonMobil (XOM)** is valued at about 7 times unlevered cash flow. Yet KMx commands a premium value with Enterprise Value to cash flow near 14 times.

Perhaps part of the reason KMx enjoys such a premium, twice the unlevered value of XOM, is that aggressive investors may seek leverage. Investors who would not normally buy stocks on margin are getting the effect of the leverage of margin and more in KMx where the total ratio of debt to present value is 0.66 compared to just 0.07 in XOM, for example. For investors who don't have to stretch for high risk stocks, it makes more sense, in our opinion, to own two to three times as much XOM for the same amount of financial risk as in KMx.

Please see disclosures on the final page.

Kinder Morgan Matrix

	<i>Kinder Morgan Inc. General Partner</i>	<i>KM Energy Partners Ltd Partner Units</i>	<i>KM Mgt LLC i-Shares</i>	<i>Kinder Morgan Consolidated</i>
<i>Symbol</i>	KMI	KMP	KMR	KMx
<i>Stock Price (\$/share or unit)</i>	72.49	45.55	41.43	
<i>Publicly Held Shares/Units (mm)</i>	125	132	41	
<i>Market Cap (\$mm)</i>	9100	6010	1710	16820
<i>Debt (\$mm)</i>	7000	2120	660	9780
<i>Enterprise Value (EV) (\$mm)</i>	16100	8130	2370	26600
<i>Present Value (PV) (\$mm)</i>	9500	4100	1280	14880
<i>Net Present Value (\$/sh or un)</i>	20	15	15	
<i>Debt/Present Value</i>	0.74	0.52	0.52	0.66
<i>McDep Ratio - EV/PV</i>	1.69	1.98	1.85	1.79
<i>Indicated Annual Distribution (\$/sh)</i>	2.80	2.92	2.92	
<i>Distribution Yield (%/yr)</i>	3.9	6.4	7.0	5.1
<i>Ebitda NTM 12/31/05 (\$mm)</i>	1260	530	169	1959
<i>EV/Ebitda</i>	12.7	15.3	14.3	13.6
<i>PV/Ebitda</i>	7.5	7.7	7.7	7.6
<i>Earnings NTM (\$/sh)</i>	4.47	2.25	2.25	
<i>Price/Earnings NTM</i>	16	20	18	18
<i>Ebitda by Function</i>				
<i>GP Natural Gas Pipelines</i>	529			529
<i>LP Natural Gas Pipelines</i>	182	132	42	357
<i>Products Pipelines</i>	216	157	50	423
<i>CO2 Pipelines</i>	199	145	46	391
<i>Terminals</i>	118	86	27	232

Other investors may not realize how much leverage there really is in KMx. KMP reports leverage near the 0.52 in our table that is still lower than 0.66 for the combined entities. KMI reports leverage near the same level as KMP, but much lower than the 0.74 we attribute to KMI. The explanation is that KMI reports none of the debt on KMP's books. KMI apparently justifies the omission of partnership debt by ignoring the ownership implication of the general partner's 42% share of limited partner cash flow for essentially no capital outlay.

Regardless of the explanation, it is clear that the greatest strength of KMx is its stock price. The partnership sold new units in 2004 priced at a premium that was worth about \$300 million after fees. That is \$300 million of value created for the partnership simply because investors were willing to pay more for the stock than buyers would pay for the partnership's assets.

Also during 2004, the partnership made some \$600 million of acquisitions including about half from the general partner. When the general partner transfers assets to the limited partner it receives the full purchase price in the non-arms length transaction. Yet it retains 42% of the cash flow from the asset in the form of general partner compensation that requires essentially no capital outlay. As a result the value creation of the public offering of limited partner units at a high price becomes value creation almost entirely for the general partner.

Limited partner distributions also seem to manufacture earnings for the general partner. Keep in mind that distributions approximate cash flow. When the general partner owns an asset the general partner reports earnings after depreciation. When the limited partners own an asset the

Please see disclosures on the final page.

limited partner distributes earnings and most of the depreciation. The general partner apparently reports its 42% share of distributions as “earnings”.

The year would not be complete without more borrowing to support higher distributions. Borrowing is just as illusory as the sale of new units. When the limited partner borrows to buy assets, none of the debt shows up on the general partner’s balance sheet. Yet the general partner gets 42% of the distribution from the asset that it reports as earnings. Presto! Earnings from thin air! Houdini would be jealous, as we say.

After stock price, the strongest part of KMx’s current business seems to be oil production in West Texas. Oil production from the SACROC unit increased from 27.7 thousand barrels daily (mbd) in the third quarter to 32.2 mbd in the fourth quarter 2004 following an investment of \$300 million in 2004. While we like that we note that investors can buy the best performing oil and gas producer, **XTO Energy (XTO)**, for 6 times cash flow, not 14 times for KMx.

Acquisitions Contribute to Growth

Acquisitions are financed by issuing units and debt. The dilution from new units and 42% general partner compensation leaves little for limited partner growth per unit (see table [Next Twelve Months Financial Results](#)).

Kinder Morgan Energy Partners, L.P.										
Next Twelve Months Financial Results										
	<i>Q1</i>	<i>Q2</i>	<i>Q3</i>	<i>Q4</i>	<i>Year</i>	<i>Q1E</i>	<i>Q2E</i>	<i>Q3E</i>	<i>Q4E</i>	<i>Next Twelve Months</i>
	<i>3/31/04</i>	<i>6/30/04</i>	<i>9/30/04</i>	<i>12/31/04</i>	<i>2004E</i>	<i>3/31/05</i>	<i>6/30/05</i>	<i>9/30/05</i>	<i>12/31/05</i>	<i>12/31/05</i>
Oil Volume										
Oil (mmb)			3.6	4.0		3.9	3.9	4.0	4.0	16
Oil (mbd)			39	43		43	43	43	43	14
Oil Price (\$/bbl)										
WTI Cushing			43.89	48.30		47.89	47.77	46.52	45.51	46.92
Partnership			26.48	28.12		28.06	28.05	27.86	27.71	27.92
Oil Revenue (\$mm)			95	112		109	111	111	111	442
Ebitda										
Products Pipelines	114	119	120	122	475	122	122	122	122	488
Natural Gas Pipelines	103	95	105	107	410	107	95	105	105	412
CO2 Pipelines	78	76	86	114	354	112	113	113	113	451
Terminals	63	66	67	67	263	67	67	67	67	268
Overhead	(51)	(43)	(40)	(51)	(184)	(51)	(51)	(51)	(40)	(192)
Total Ebitda	307	313	338	359	1,318	358	346	357	367	1,428
Deprec., Deplet., & Amort.	68	70	74	79	291	79	79	79	79	316
Ebit	239	243	264	280	1,027	279	267	278	288	1,112
Interest	47	47	48	54	196	54	54	54	54	216
Ebt	192	196	216	226	831	225	213	224	234	896
General Partner	92	96	100	107	395	107	108	108	109	431
Net Income (\$mm)	100	100	116	119	436	117	106	116	125	464
Units (mm)	193	196	197	203	197	205	206	207	208	206
Net Income Per Unit (\$/un)	0.52	0.51	0.59	0.59	2.21	0.57	0.51	0.56	0.60	2.25
Distribution Per L.P. Unit	0.68	0.69	0.71	0.73	2.81	0.73	0.73	0.73	0.73	2.92
Distribution (\$mm)	222	230	239	254	944	257	258	259	260	1,034
General Partner	91	95	99	106	390	107	108	108	109	431
Limited Partner	131	135	140	148	554	150	150	151	152	603
General Partner Share										
Earnings	48%	49%	46%	47%	48%	48%	50%	48%	46%	48%
Distribution	41%	41%	41%	42%	41%	42%	42%	42%	42%	42%

Please see disclosures on the final page.

Non-Competitive Value among Oil and Gas Income Stocks

KMP stock appears to have potential for depreciation compared to other income stocks (see table of stocks ranked by McDep Ratio). The high valuation investors accord KMP helps make the case for investment in stocks with lower valuation and lower debt.

				<i>Price (\$/sh) 18-Jan 2005</i>	<i>Shares (mm)</i>	<i>Market Cap (\$mm)</i>	<i>Net Present Value (\$/sh)</i>	<i>Debt/ Present Value</i>	<i>McDep Ratio</i>
Income									
Kinder Morgan Energy Partners, L.P.	KMP	S3		45.55	150	6,830	15.00	0.52	1.98
Kinder Morgan Management, LLC	KMR	S3		41.43	56	2,340	15.00	0.52	1.85
Pengrowth Energy Trust	PGH			20.99	136	2,850	14.00	0.26	1.37
Enerplus Resources Fund	ERF			36.62	104	3,810	28.00	0.19	1.25
San Juan Basin Royalty Trust	SJT	H		28.94	47	1,350	27.00	-	1.07
Canadian Oil Sands Trust (US\$)	COSWF	B1		56.48	91	5,150	72.00	0.19	0.83
	<i>Total or Median</i>					22,300		0.23	1.31

B1 = Buy full unlevered position, B2 = Buy half unlevered position, B3 = Alternative buy, H = Hold
 S2 = Short half unlevered position, S3 = Short quarter unlevered position
 McDep Ratio = Market cap and Debt to present value of oil and gas and other businesses

Kurt H. Wulff, CFA

Disclaimer: This analysis was prepared by Kurt Wulff doing business as McDep Associates. The firm used sources and data believed to be reliable, but makes no representation as to their accuracy or completeness. This analysis is intended for informational purposes and is not a solicitation to buy or sell a security. Past performance is no guarantee of future results.

McDep does no investment banking business. McDep is not paid by covered companies including revenue from advertising, trading, consulting, subscriptions or research service. McDep shall not own more than 1% of outstanding stock in a covered company. No one at McDep is on the Board of Directors at a covered company nor is anyone at a covered company on the Board of Directors of McDep.

McDep or its employees may take positions in stocks the firm covers for research purposes. No trades in a subject stock shall be made within a week before or after a change in recommendation.

Certification: I, Kurt H. Wulff, certify that the views expressed in this research analysis accurately reflect my personal views about the subject securities and issuers. No part of my compensation was, is, or will be directly or indirectly related to the specific recommendations or views contained in this research analysis.

Research Methodology/Ratings Description: McDep Associates is an independent research originator focused on oil and gas stocks exclusively. The firm applies the thirty years of experience of its analyst to estimate a present value of the oil and gas resources and other businesses of covered companies. That value is compared with a company's stock market capitalization and debt. Stocks with low market cap and debt relative to present value tend to outperform stocks with high market cap and debt relative to present value. Buy recommendations are expected to deliver a total return better than 7% per year above inflation. Hold recommendations assume the attributes of the underlying business are reflected in the current price of the stock. Sell recommendations are expected to deliver a negative total return less than 7% per year including the effects of inflation.

Please see disclosures on the final page.